

REMARKS/AMENDMENTS

Claim Rejections—35 USC §102

The Examiner has rejected claim 21 as being anticipated by U.S. Application No. US2002/0011544 to Bosson. Reconsideration thereof is requested in light of the following.

Claim 21 includes the limitation that when the engagement means is unsuitable for supporting the monitor on either of the two support members, the engagement means is removed from the monitor, and a mounting bracket is screwed to the rear of the monitor, where the mounting bracket is compatible with at least one of the support members. This feature is not taught in Paragraph 2 or anywhere else in Bosson, which reference does not teach removing an engagement means.

Bosson does teach the use of a quick release mechanism to allow the display device to be readily and quickly detached from the support (paragraph 4 and Figure 3); however, as the Examiner will appreciate, this teaching is not equivalent to removing the engagement means when the same is unsuitable for supporting the monitor.

The instant invention provides a novel solution for retrofitting a monitor so that it may be used in a multi-display setting having at least two support members even when the original engagement means is unsuitable for supporting the monitor on either of the two support members. Part of the invention calls for the engagement means being removed from the monitor, and a mounting bracket being screwed to the rear of the monitor, where the mounting bracket is compatible with at least one of the support members. These features are not taught in Bosson.

Therefore, favorable consideration of claim 21 is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Jerry Moscovitch